

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/590,362	08/23/2006	Pierre Vidalinc	BJS-960-50	6744	
23117 NIXON & VA	7590 02/25/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAM	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			THERKORN, ERNEST G		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1797		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/25/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/590,362	VIDALINC, PIERRE			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Ernest G. Therkorn	1797			

		Elliest G. Tiletkolli	1/9/			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	dress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA shasons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138 nations of time may be available. The provision of 37 CFR 1.138 popriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the to reply with the set or estandard period for reply will by shatute, or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of diplenter time adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.104(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire I apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,		
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 De	cember 2008.				
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This a	action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-22 is/are withdrawn	from consideration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction		-			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicat	ion No			
	Copies of the certified copies of the priorit	•	ed in this National	Stage		
	application from the International Bureau					
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	f the certified copies not receive	ed.			
A44	440)					
Attachmen 1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			

- Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/US)
 - Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/23/2006&9/29/2006.

- Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ___ 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application. 6) Other:
- Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 10/590,362

Art Unit: 1797

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(B) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Alaska (U.S. Patent No. 5,667,676). The claims read on Alaska (U.S. Patent No. 5,667,676). However, if a difference exists between the claims and Alaska (U.S. Patent No. 5,667,676), it would reside in optimizing the elements of Alaska (U.S. Patent No. 5,667,676). It would be obvious to optimize the elements of Alaska (U.S. Patent No. 5,667,676) to enhance separation.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alaska (U.S. Patent No. 5,667,676) in view of Hanmer (U.S. Patent No. 5,788,127) and Marmon (U.S. Patent No. 4,437,487). At best, the claims differ from Alaska (U.S. Patent No. 5,667,676) in reciting the particular valve. Hanmer (U.S. Patent No. 5,788,127) (Abstract and column 1, lines 3-15) discloses that use of a valve with a tapered outlet passage allows accurate dispensing. Marmon (U.S. Patent No. 4,437,487) (Abstract) discloses openings in the valve housing proximate to the periphery of the unseated valve for the obvious purpose of allowing unimpeded

Application/Control Number: 10/590,362

Art Unit: 1797

dispensing of fluid. It would have been obvious to use the recited valve in Alaska (U.S. Patent No. 5,667,676) because Hanmer (U.S. Patent No. 5,788,127) (Abstract and column 1, lines 3-15) discloses that use of a valve with a tapered outlet passage allows accurate dispensing and Marmon (U.S. Patent No. 4,437,487) (Abstract) discloses openings in the valve housing proximate to the periphery of the unseated valve for the obvious purpose of allowing unimpeded dispensing of fluid.

The remarks request a classification of the lack of a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. Under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the reasons that claims 1 and 4 are rejected as unpatentable above. As such, the special technical feature linking the inventions does not provide a contribution over the prior art, and no single inventive concept exists. Therefore, restriction and election of species have been reconsidered, deemed proper, and made final for the reasons of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to E. Therkorn at telephone number (571) 272-1149. The official fax number is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/590,362

Art Unit: 1797

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Ernest G. Therkorn/ Ernest G. Therkorn Primary Examiner Art Unit 1797

EGT February 19, 2009